

Criteria for assigning levels of evidence to the published studies

<i>Level</i>	<i>Criteria</i>
Studies of diagnosis	
Level 1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Independent interpretation of test results (without knowledge of the result of the diagnostic or gold standard) b. Independent interpretation of the diagnostic standard (without knowledge of the test result) c. Selection of people suspected (but not known) to have the disorder d. Reproducible description of both the test and diagnostic standard e. At least 50 patients with and 50 patients without the disorder
Level 2	Meets 4 of the Level 1 criteria
Level 3	Meets 3 of the Level 1 criteria
Level 4	Meets 1 or 2 of the Level 1 criteria
Studies of treatment and prevention	
Level 1A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Comprehensive search for evidence b. Authors avoided bias in selecting articles for inclusion c. Authors assessed each article for validity d. Reports clear conclusions that are supported by the data and appropriate analyses <p>OR</p> <p>Appropriately designed RCT with adequate power to answer the question posed by the investigators</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Patients were randomly allocated to treatment groups b. Follow-up at least 80% complete c. Patients and investigators were blinded to the treatment * d. Patients were analyzed in the treatment groups to which they were assigned e. The sample size was large enough to detect the outcome of interest
Level 1B	Nonrandomized clinical trial or cohort study with indisputable results
Level 2	RCT or systematic overview that does not meet Level 1 criteria
Level 3	Nonrandomized clinical trial or cohort study; systematic overview or meta-analysis of level 3 studies
Level 4	Other
Studies of prognosis	
Level 1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Inception cohort of patients with the condition of interest but free of the outcome of interest b. Reproducible inclusion/exclusion criteria c. Follow-up of at least 80% of subjects d. Statistical adjustment for extraneous prognostic factors (confounders) e. Reproducible description of outcome measures
Level 2	Meets criterion a) above, plus 3 of the other 4 criteria
Level 3	Meets criterion a) above, plus 2 of the other criteria
Level 4	Meets criterion a) above, plus 1 of the other criteria

RCT, randomized, controlled trial.

* In cases where such blinding was not possible or was impractical (e.g. intensive vs. conventional insulin therapy), the blinding of individuals who assessed and adjudicated study outcomes was felt to be sufficient.

Criteria for assigning grades of recommendations for clinical practice

<i>Grade</i>	<i>Criteria</i>
Grade A	The best evidence was at Level 1
Grade B	The best evidence was at Level 2
Grade C	The best evidence was at Level 3
Grade D	The best evidence was at Level 4 or consensus

If you would like more details on this topic, including references, please visit the [Canadian Diabetes Association Clinical Practice Guidelines Chapter 2: Methods](#)